PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

JUN 06 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
VOY, Gilbert T
P. O. Box 6288
Indianapolis IN 46206-6288

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PCT/US2005/000022 🗸

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY

International application No.

X-16126 🗸

Applicant

PCET LILLY AND COMPANY
Patent Division

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 31/05/2005

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below International filing date (day/month/year) 18/01/2005

1. x The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes Where? Directly to the 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international

before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively,

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of **30 months** (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Josef Ullrich

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220			
X-16126	ACTION	as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US2005/000022	PCT/US2005/000022 18/01/2005 22/01/2004				
Applicant					
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY					
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra		ching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsh	eets.			
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document o	ited in this report.			
	international search was carried out ess otherwise indicated under this it	on the basis of the international application in the em.			
The international this Authority (Ru		of a translation of the international application furnished to			
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.			
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).				
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).				
4. With regard to the title,					
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.				
the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as follo	ws:			
5. With regard to the abstract,					
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.				
		his Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant			
may, within one month ifo	on the date of mailing of this interna	ional search report, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. With regard to the drawings ,					
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	published with the abstract is Figure	No			
as suggested by	••				
	s Authority, because the applicant for				
	s Authority, because this figure better	er characterizes the invention.			
b none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2005/000022

A. CLASSI IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C07D295/08 C07D335/02 C07D409	/04 C07D333/64 A6	i1P5/00		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
	SEARCHED				
Minimum de IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classifica ${\tt C07D}$	tion symbols)			
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included in the fiel	ds searched		
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data b	ase and, where practical, search terms	used)		
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data, CHEM ABS Data				
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	elevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Х	VEP 0 761 659 A (ELI LILLY AND COMPANY) 12 March 1997 (1997-03-12) page 1, line 3 - line 7; examples 2,5,6				
X	WO 95/10513 A (PFIZER INC; CAMERON, KIMBERLY, O; DA SILVA-JARDINE, PAUL; LARSON, ERIC) 20 April 1995 (1995-04-20) Table 2, p. 76, 2nd structural formula; p. 77, 2nd structural formula abstract; example 19				
А	FP 0 729 951 A (ELI LILLY AND COMPANY) 4 September 1996 (1996-09-04) the whole document 1-22				
A	✓EP 0 731 100 A (ELI LILLY AND COMPANY) 11 September 1996 (1996-09-11) the whole document				
Furt	l her documents are listed in the continuation of box C	X Patent family members are lis	sted in annex.		
 Special categories of cited documents A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone value of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. 					
	later than the priority date claimed '&' document member of the same patent family				
]	actual completion of the international search O May 2005	Date of mailing of the internationa $31/05/2005$	I search report		
	U May 2005				
Name and mailing address of the ISA . European Patent Office, P.B 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fay: (-31-70) 340-2016 Fay: (-31-70) 340-3016					
Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US2005/000022

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	1,01,002	1
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0761659	Α	12-03-1997	AT AU BR CN CZ DE DE EP NO NZ PL RU TR WS	240948 T 703317 B2 6682196 A 9609844 A 2228178 A1 1198668 A 9800276 A3 69628246 D1 69628246 T2 0761659 A1 2198462 T3 9802408 A2 11510798 T 980395 A 315174 A 324816 A1 2167158 C2 9800132 T1 9704763 A1 5811421 A	15-06-2003 25-03-1999 26-02-1997 09-03-1999 13-02-1997 11-11-1998 15-07-1998 26-06-2003 25-03-2004 12-03-1997 01-02-2004 28-01-1999 21-09-1999 26-03-1998 29-07-1999 22-06-1998 20-05-2001 21-05-1998 13-02-1997 22-09-1998
WO 9510513	Α	20-04-1995	AU BR CA CN CZ EP FI HU WO JP NO NZ PL US ZA	7545394 A 9407790 A 2173243 A1 1133040 A 9601056 A3 0723537 A1 944771 A 75231 A2 9510513 A1 8511273 T 961432 A 271733 A 313905 A1 6756388 B1 9407911 A	04-05-1995 18-03-1997 20-04-1995 09-10-1996 15-01-1997 31-07-1996 13-04-1995 28-04-1997 20-04-1995 26-11-1996 11-04-1996 24-11-1997 05-08-1996 29-06-2004 11-04-1996
EP 0729951	A	04-09-1996	US AT AU BR CN CY DE DE DE DE IL IN IN	5998401 A 180776 T 694837 B2 4573296 A 9600821 A 2170337 A1 1137525 A ,C 1261534 A ,C 2178 A 9600581 A3 69602638 D1 69602638 T2 729951 T3 0729951 T3 0729951 A1 2132841 T3 960889 A 3030407 T3 117168 A 128533 A 184030 A1 182138 A1	07-12-1999 15-06-1999 30-07-1998 05-09-1996 23-12-1997 29-08-1996 11-12-1996 02-08-2000 23-08-2002 11-09-1996 08-07-1999 21-10-1999 23-06-1999 04-09-1996 16-08-1999 29-08-1996 30-09-1999 25-11-2001 01-06-2000 03-06-2000 09-01-1999

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US2005/000022

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0729951	Α		JP	8268881 A	15-10-1996
			NO	960772 A	29-08-1996
			NZ	286072 A	28-10-1996
			PL	312829 A1	02-09-1996
			RU	2167849 C2	27-05-2001
			SG	55098 A1	21-12-1998
			TR	960838 A1	21-10-1996
			US	5574190 A	12-11-1996
			US	6268361 B1	31-07-2001
			US	5567712 A	22-10-1996
			US	6355632 B1	12-03-2002
			ZA	9601291 A	19-08-1997
EP 0731100	A	11-09-1996	US	6395755 B1	28-05-2002
			ΑT	195519 T	15-09-2000
			ΑT	247100 T	15-08-2003
			ΑU	697502 B2	08-10-1998
			ΑU	5304896 A	02-10-1996
			BR	9607775 A	07-07-1998
			CA	2214261 A1	19-09-1996
			DE	69609748 D1	21-09-2000
			DE	69609748 T2	12-04-2001
			DE	69629500 D1	18-09-2003
			DE	69629500 T2	01-07-2004
			DK	731100 T3	18-09-2000
			EP	0731100 A1	11-09-1996
			EP	0953568 A1	03-11-1999
			ES	2148681 T3	16-10-2000
			ES	2205702 T3	01-05-2004
			GR	3034762 T3	28-02-2001
-			ΙL	117398 A	21-11-2000
			JP	11501930 T	16-02-1999
			PT	731100 T	31-01-2001
			SI	731100 T1	28-02-2001
			MO	9628155 A1	19-09-1996
			ZΑ	9601883 A	08-09-1997



From the

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
				(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) 2Z <i>DCT</i> Z Q	75
			XIEIZLe	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet))
	Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	national application		International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.01.2004	~
1			l both national classification .09/04, C07D333/64, A		I	
Appl EL1	icant LILLY AND COI	MPANY				***************************************
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the follo	owing items:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion			
	☐ Box No. II	Priority				
	☐ Box No. III		,	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicabi	lity
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			strial			
	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
LJ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2.	2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Nam	e and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		ches Petenian

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Fritz, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2792



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/000022

_						
	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	J	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With nece	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ssary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. ty	pe of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Addi	ional comments:				

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international applica	tion,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 12-13						
bed	because:						
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 12-13 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	☐ See separate sheet for further details						

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-22

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 9,22

No: Claims 1-8,10-21

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-11,14-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 12-13 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with re-spect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Art. 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1: EP-A-0 761 659 (ELI LILLY AND COMPANY) 12 March 1997 (1997-03-12)

D2: WO 95/10513 A (PFIZER INC; CAMERON, KIMBERLY, O; DA SILVA-JARDINE, PAUL; LARSON, ERIC) 20 April 1995 (1995-04-20)

The present application describes compounds of the general formula I (claims 1-11,22), methods of treatment by administering the compounds I (claims 12-13), the compounds I for use in therapy (claim 14), intermediates of formula II (claims 15-21).

For the assessment of the present claims 12-13 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentabi-lity can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

The compounds I are described as being selective estrogen receptor modulators useful to treat endometriosis and uterine leiomyoma, i.e. these compounds are pharmacologi-cally active substances which demonstrate an estrogen-like function, but are devoid of proliferative side-effects.

Compounds which display these activities and are structurally very close to the compounds I are already known in the art:

The compounds I which are naphthalene derivatives wherein W designates a group $CHSO_2R^4$ differ from the compounds according to examples 2, 5 and 6 of D1 only inso-far, as the cyclohexyl group in 3-position of the naphthalene skeleton is substituted by $-SO_2R^4$, whereas it is not substituted in the known compounds.

The same argumentation applies for the compounds I which are benzothiophene derivatives when compared to e.g. the compound according to ex. 19 of D2, i.e. the only structural difference is the peripheral substituent -SO₂R⁴.

As the above-mentioned representatives of the compounds I are structurally extremely close to already known compounds displaying the same pharmacological activites, a surprising effect would have to be shown in order to acknowledge them as inventive.

The compounds I in which W is SO₂ can, however, be acknowledged as inventive: The (isosteric) replacement of a cyclohexyl by a 1,1-dioxothiopyran-4-yl group cannot be considered an obvious modification, as it is not possible to predict whether this modification does not change the pharmacological activities of the starting materials.

The acknowledgement of an inventive step for the intermediates II depends from the acknowledgement of an inventive step for the final products.

The subject-matter of claims 1-8 and 10-21 does therefore not fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Further objections:

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclo-sed in the documents D1-D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

The numbering of the claims on p. 40 is not correct.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/000022